

**Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation  
Environmental Assessment**

**Operator:** Hunter Energy, LLC

**Well Name/Number:** Dutton 32-21-11

**Location:** NW SE, Lot 11 Section 21 T15N R30E

**County:** Garfield, **MT;** **Field (or Wildcat)** Cat Creek

**Air Quality**

(possible concerns)

Long drilling time: No, 4 to 5 days drilling time.

Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, 1500' TD.

Possible H<sub>2</sub>S gas production: No H<sub>2</sub>S anticipated.

In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area.

Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive) Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.

Mitigation:

☒ Air quality permit (AQB review)

☐ Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas

☐ Special equipment/procedures requirements

☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: No special concerns – using small rig to drill to 1500' TD.

**Water Quality**

(possible concerns)

Salt/oil based mud: No, surface hole will be drilled with freshwater. Mainhole will be drilled with freshwater drilling fluids.

High water table: Possible high water table.

Surface drainage leads to live water: Yes, closest live water is the Musselshell river about 1/8 of a mile to the south, 3/16 of a mile to the west and ¼ of a mile to the north from this location.

Water well contamination: No water well contamination, nearest water well is about 1/4 of a mile to the northwest from this location. Depth of this well is 1200' in the 3<sup>rd</sup> Cat Creek Formation. This well will drill surface casing hole with freshwater to 300' and cement the surface casing to surface. If productive 5 ½" casing will be run to total depth and cemented to surface. The 3<sup>rd</sup> Cat Creek Formation will be covered with cement if casing is run and if the well is plugged the 3<sup>rd</sup> Cat Creek Formation will have a cement plug set across the formation.

Porous/permeable soils: Yes, silty sandy soils.

Class I stream drainage: No Class I stream drainage.

Mitigation:

☒ Lined reserve pit

☒ Adequate surface casing

☐ Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage

☐ Closed mud system

☐ Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)

☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: 300' of surface casing cemented to surface adequate to protect freshwater zones. Also, fresh water mud system to be used on surface hole. Drilled

cuttings and mud solids will be buried in pit after drilling fluids have evaporated. Pit will backfilled when dry. Distance from live water should mitigate any concerns from surface water contamination. No concerns.

### **Soils/Vegetation/Land Use**

(possible concerns)

Stream crossings: None

High erosion potential: No, small cut, up to 1.0' and small fill, up to 0.7', required.

Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling, if nonproductive. If productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.

Unusually large wellsite: No, 150'X240' location size required.

Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use grassland at the edge of an irrigated hay field.

Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight.

Mitigation

☐ Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)

☐ Exception location requested

☒ Stockpile topsoil

☐ Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)

☒ Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive

☐ Special construction methods to enhance reclamation

☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Access will be from existing county road and existing oil field graded road to existing trails. Short access road to be built from trail access into this location, about 1/8 of a mile. No special concerns.

### **Health Hazards/Noise**

(possible concerns)

Proximity to public facilities/residences: Closest residence is about 3/8 of a mile to the west northwest of this location.

Possibility of H<sub>2</sub>S: None anticipated.

Size of rig/length of drilling time: Small drilling rig/short 4 to 5 days drilling time.

Mitigation:

☒ Proper BOP equipment

☐ Topographic sound barriers

☐ H<sub>2</sub>S contingency and/or evacuation plan

☐ Special equipment/procedures requirements

☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Adequate surface casing and operational BOP should mitigate any problems. No concerns.

### **Wildlife/recreation**

(possible concerns)

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.

Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.

Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No, existing access.

Conflict with game range/refuge management: None identified in the area.

Threatened or endangered Species: Species identified as threatened or endangered are the Pallid Sturgeon, Interior Least Tern, Piping Plover and Black-Footed Ferret.

Mitigation:

- ☐ Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
- ☐ Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
- ☐ Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Private surface lands at this location. Sufficient distance from well to river to prevent surface water contamination. Location adjacent to hay field should not interfere with Ferrets, Plovers or Least Terns. No concerns.

---

### Historical/Cultural/Paleontological

(possible concerns)

Proximity to known sites None identified

Mitigation

- ☐ avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
- ☐ other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Private surface lands. No concerns.

---

### Social/Economic

(possible concerns)

- ☐ Substantial effect on tax base
- ☐ Create demand for new governmental services
- ☐ Population increase or relocation

Comments: No concerns. Well is a development well within the Cat Creek Oil Field.

### Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

Well is a 1500' Swift Formation test in the Cat Creek Oil Field.

### Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur. Well is within the Cat Creek Oil Field that has been drilled since 1920's and produced oil ever since.

---

---

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/**does not**) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/**does not**) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Prepared by (BOGC): \s\Steven Sasaki

(title:) Chief Field Inspector

Date: March 15, 2010

Other Persons Contacted:

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology GWIC website

(Name and Agency)

Garfield County water wells

(subject discussed)

March 15, 2010

(date)

US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website

(Name and Agency)

ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA  
COUNTIES, Garfield County

(subject discussed)

March 15, 2010

(date)

If location was inspected before permit approval:

Inspection date: \_\_\_\_\_

Inspector: \_\_\_\_\_

Others present during inspection: \_\_\_\_\_